

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 7377-000005/US 2615 Kenneth F. Fennewald 10/719,327 11/21/2003 **EXAMINER** 28997 7590 09/03/2004 HARNESS, DICKEY, & PIERCE, P.L.C FASTOVSKY, LEONID M 7700 BONHOMME, STE 400 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ST. LOUIS, MO 63105 3742

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/719,327	FENNEWALD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leonid M Fastovsky	3742
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 /	August 2004.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) □ Claim(s) 1-14 and 19-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 is/are withdrawn f 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-3,5-14 and 19-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of	rom consideration. for election requirement. fer. fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ for election is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apority documents have been reused and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20031121.	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/719,327 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of species (Fig. 13 and 5-7) in the reply filed on 8/2/04 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claim 4 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species of Fig. 4, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6, 11, 14 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juliano et al (5,973,296) in view of Godwin et al 96,305,923). Juliano teaches substantially the claimed invention having a heater system (Fig. 6) comprising a thick film resistive element 86 defining a substrate 74, a dielectric layer 84, a temperature sensor 88 and protective layer 48. However, he does not teach a controller and microprocessor. Godwin teaches a system with film heaters 63, 65 and 67 comprising controller and microprocessor (col. 7, lines 17-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Juliano's invention to include a controller and microprocessor in order to carry control and logic signals as taught by Godwin (col. 7, lines 29-35).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,327

Art Unit: 3742

As for claim 3, Godwin teaches thin film heaters 63, 65 and 67.

As for claim 19-23, it would be obvious to operate a layered heater in the device of Juliano as taught by Godwin because he teaches a structure that inherently capable of use as set forth in claims 19-23 in accordance with MPEP 2131.01.

- 5. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juliano in view of Godwin and further in view of Miyata et al (6,448,538).

 Juliano in view of Godwin teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a sol-gel heater and thermal spray. Miyata teaches a sol-gel heater (col. 10, lines 10-20) and thermal spray (col. 20, lines 36-44). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Juliano in view of Godwin to include a sol-gel heater in order to protect the exposed edge fro the outside covering with a ceramic film as taught by Miyata (col. 10, lines 12-17), and also to make a thermally sprayed heater as conventional in the art as taught by Miyata (col. 20, lines 36-44).
- 6. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juliano in view of Godwin and further in view of Haas (6,770,848).

 Juliano in view of Godwin teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a controller with DC and AC control. Haas teaches a film heater 50 comprising a controller 30 capable of operating with DC or AC control. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Juliano in view of Godwin to use a controller with AC or DC control as conventional in the art as taught by Haas.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,327 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

7. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juliano in view of Godwin and further in view of Lumsden (6,489,742).

Juliano in view of Godwin teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a controller having an angle firing and a shunt resistor. Lumsden teaches a controller 8 comprises an angle firing (col. 7, lines 1-10) and shunt resistor (claim 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Juliano in viewofgodwin to include a controller comprising an angle firing and a shunt resistor to compute for controlling current as taught by Lumsden (col. 6, lines 55-67).

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juliano in view of Godwin and further in view of Waggoner et al (6,752,491). Juliano in view of Godwin teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a controller with firmware. Waggoner teaches a heater resistor having a controller 80 comprising firmware. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Juliano in view of Godwin to include a controller comprising firmware in order to control various functions as taught by Waggoner (col. 2, lines 63-67).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 5665262 (layer heater), 5504307 (heat material), 6222166 (thich film heater), 6762396 (resistive coatings).

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 703-306-5482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703-305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jante 15 kg Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner Art Unit 3742

Imf